| 1 | |
|----------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | |
| 8 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | |
| 10 | TRIA BEAUTY, INC., |
| 11 | No. C 10-05053 WHA Plaintiff, |
| 12 | V. |
| 13 | OREGON AESTHETIC IN RESPONSE TO JOINT REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE COURT REQUEST FOR INFORMATION IN RESPONSE TO JOINT REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE |
| 14 15 | Defendant. |
| 16 | / |
| 17 | There is a circularity in this situation. Originally, this action was stayed in favor of the |
| 18 | Oregon court deciding the same dispute. Now, however, it appears that the Oregon court has |
| 19 | stayed its action pending FDA action, at least up to September 30, 2012. To complicate matters |
| 20 | counsel report there is a written settlement agreement in this very action. Why, then, can't this |
| 21 | action be closed completely? Please answer by NOON ON DECEMBER 12, 2011 . For the time |
| 22 | being, the matter will remain on calendar for December 15. |
| 23 | |
| | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 24 | 1 m (VI |

Dated: December 8, 2011.

25

26

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE